

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

District of Massachusetts_____

United States of America

v.

FRANCIS COLEMAN

Date of Previous Judgment: 07/01/1998

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

)

)

) Case No: 96-10047-011

) USM No: 21253-038

) Joan Griffin, Esq.

) Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____

Amended Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- Other (explain): _____

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 12/31/2009

/s/ Mark L. Wolf

Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Mark L. Wolf, Chief District Judge

Printed name and title